## **Investigatory Powers Tribunal**

P.O.Box 33220 London SW1H 9ZQ

## NOTICE OF DECISION (Redacted)

Pursuant to Section 67 of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (as amended) (RIPA) and Rule 15 (5) of the Investigatory Powers Tribunal Rules 2018 (the Rules)

Anon -v- 'Intelligence Services'

## IPT/24/697/CH

- 1. The Tribunal has considered the complaint, as well as the claim brought by Anon under section 7 of the Human Rights Act 1998.
- 2. The Tribunal has determined that the complaint and section 7 proceedings fall within section 67(4) of RIPA. The Tribunal is therefore under no duty to hear, consider or determine the claim and complaint.
- 3. Under Rule 15(5) of the Rules the Tribunal is required to inform the complainant of any reasoning for this decision that it considers appropriate.
- 4. Anon submitted a Human Rights Claim Forms (T1) and Complaint Form (T2) to the Tribunal dated 15 August 2024. He names the Intelligence Services as being responsible for the conduct he complains about.
- 5. In summary, Anon maintains that he has been under surveillance for more than 4 years. He tracks the issue back to March 2020. He believes that around this date he was placed under surveillance by government authorities, but he is uncertain about the precise identity of the agency, and all who were involved. He is, however, persuaded that he has been placed under overt surveillance wherever he goes. It is said to involve multiple actors in a practice he calls 'gang stalking'. Anon makes a number of further allegations, not all of which are repeated here, but which have been taken into account.
- 6. The Tribunal has carefully considered the grievances held by Anon, and it is clear that he is disturbed by what he believes he has been experiencing. However, the Tribunal is not persuaded that there is any evidence to suggest that the respondents are responsible for the conduct described. The complainant has not advanced any information explaining why any entity within the domain of the Tribunal would consider him to be a person of interest nor what would be gained from harassing him in the manner he describes. In addition, there is no independent or objective evidence to support his allegations.
- 7. In summary, the Tribunal is not persuaded that surveillance powers have been used against Anon, let alone unlawfully.
- 8. The Tribunal therefore finds Anon's complaint and claim to be wholly without merit and having no realistic prospect of success. They are both dismissed pursuant to s. 67(4) of

## RIPA.

- 9. This decision is final, and the Tribunal is not able to enter into any further correspondence on this issue.
- 10. RIPA does not provide for any right of appeal from this decision, which is therefore final, and the Tribunal is not able to enter into any further correspondence.

**SIGNED** 

**Tribunal Secretary** 

On behalf of the tribunal

**DATED** 

05/12/2024